

**To:** Beck, Nancy[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy[Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov]; Wise, Louise[Wise.Louise@epa.gov]  
**From:** Strauss, Linda  
**Sent:** Fri 6/30/2017 1:10:50 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Sent to OPA -- FW: glyphosate - CT Health Investigative Team - follow-up

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jun 29, 2017, at 5:47 PM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

>

### Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

?

>

>

> \_\_\_\_\_

> Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

> Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

## Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Strauss, Linda

> Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 5:39 PM

> To: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy

> <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>

> Subject: Sent to OPA -- FW: glyphosate - CT Health Investigative Team - follow-up

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Strauss, Linda

> Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 5:38 PM

> To: Daguillard, Robert <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov>; Valentine, Julia <Valentine.Julia@epa.gov>

> Cc: Sisco, Debby <Sisco.Debby@epa.gov>; Dinkins, Darlene <Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov>; Barone,

> Stan <Barone.Stan@epa.gov>; Overstreet, Anne <overstreet.anne@epa.gov>; Berckes, Nicole

> <Berckes.Nicole@epa.gov>

> Subject: FW: glyphosate - CT Health Investigative Team - follow-up

>

> Robert, we actually don't speak from the SAP. We can quote from the SAP report, however.

>

> Here's the statement from the Executive Summary:

>

> "Using a weight-of-evidence approach, the Issue Paper concludes that glyphosate is "not likely to be carcinogenic to humans," especially at reasonably foreseeable dose-rates. Some Panel members agreed with this characterization, while other Panel members felt that the better descriptor for glyphosate is "suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential." Many Panelists noted that crucial data were equivocal, and that additional data on cancer morbidity and/or mortality from studies of glyphosate-exposed workers would be desirable."

>

>

>>

>>> -----Original Message-----

>>> From: Daguillard, Robert

>>> Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 8:15 AM  
>>> To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>  
>>> Subject: Re: glyphosate - CT Health Investigative Team  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Good morning Linda,  
>>>  
>>> Checking whether you recommend for responding to the reporters follow up. please advise.  
>>>  
>>> "Hi Robert: How could the EPA Scientific Advisory Panel conclude that glyphosate is "not likely to be carcinogenic to humans" if some panel members agreed with this characterization, while other Panel members felt that the better descriptor for glyphosate is "suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential"?"  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Sent from my iPhone